
Subject:	REVIEW OF ALLOCATION OF SEATS TO POLITICAL GROUPS
Meeting and Date:	COUNCIL – 31 OCTOBER 2018
Report of:	JOINT REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE AND THE DIRECTOR OF GOVERNANCE
Classification:	UNRESTRICTED

Purpose of the report: The prompt and correct allocation of committee seats is vital to maintaining an effective and transparent governance framework.

- Recommendation:** *That it be recommended to Council:*
- (a) *That the report setting out the recommended allocation of seats to political groups be adopted.*
 - (b) *That the Dover Joint Transportation Board and the two Overview and Scrutiny Committees be considered as Ordinary Committees for the purposes of calculating the allocation of seats for political groups.*
 - (c) *That the Council appoints its Committees with the sizes and allocation of seats between political groups as set out in Appendix 1 of the report, and invites the Group Leaders to make nominations to fill the seats on these Committees.*
 - (d) *That the Council appoints a Licensing Committee with 15 seats and, whilst noting that the duty to ensure political proportionality does not apply, resolves that the Licensing Committee should reflect the proportionality of the Council.*
-

1. **Summary**

Consequent upon the giving of notices to the Council under the Local Government (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations 1990, Councillors R J Frost and P Walker have ceased to be members of the Conservative and Labour groups respectively.

2. **Introduction and Background**

- 2.1 The political composition of the Council is currently 24 Conservative, 17 Labour and 4 members who are not currently members of a political group. To be counted as a political group for the purposes of the political balance rules a party is required to have at least two members and have formally notified the authority that it is a political group. Only the Conservative and Labour Groups meet this requirement and have provided notice to the Council of their intention to form a political group (undertaken following the 2015 elections).
- 2.2 Section 15 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 (specifically subsections (3) to (5)) prescribes the Council's duty to determine the allocation of seats which states the basic principles of seat allocation.
- 2.3 In summary, these principles of determination are that:

- (a) All the seats are not allocated to the same group;
- (b) The majority of the seats go to the group (if any) which has an overall majority on the Council (i.e. 23 or more seats);
- (c) Subject to the above two principles, that the number of seats on the total of all the ordinary committees allocated to each group bears the same proportion to the proportion on the full Council; and
- (d) Subject to (a) and (c), that the number of seats on each ordinary committee and each Sub-Committee allocated to each group bears the same proportion to the proportion on the full Council.

Variations to Political Balance Principles

- 2.4 Prior to the allocation of seats, the Council may make variations to the principles of political balance in cases where a decision is taken to do so with no members voting against it.
- 2.5 If a political group fails to express its wishes within a period of three weeks of being notified of its allocation (which shall be taken as commencing from 31 October 2018, the date of the Council meeting where this report is considered), the Council at its first meeting after this period may make such appointment as it sees fit by majority vote regardless of the principles of political balance.

Definition of Ordinary Committees

- 2.6 The following are a list of the ordinary committees of the Council:
 - Electoral Matters Committee
 - General Purposes Committee
 - Governance Committee
 - Planning Committee
 - Regulatory Committee
- 2.7 The total number of seats on the ordinary committees of the Council is 32 seats.
- 2.8 In addition to the above ordinary committees, the Council has historically treated the Dover Joint Transportation Board (district council seats only) and the two Overview and Scrutiny Committees as ordinary committees for the purposes of seat allocation.
- 2.9 It is the view of the Solicitor to the Council that Section 21 (11) of the Local Government Act 2000 (under which Scrutiny Committees are appointed), makes the Scrutiny Committees 'bodies' to which the political balance rules apply. What it does not do is to make them automatically 'ordinary committees' for the purposes of the political balance rules.
- 2.10 If the Dover Joint Transportation Board and the two Scrutiny Committees are considered to be ordinary committees of the Council it increases the number of places on ordinary committees to 59 seats.

Allocation of Seats – Non-Ordinary Committees

- 2.11 In addition to the ordinary committees of the Council, there are three non-ordinary committees of the Council. Although these are not bound by the same requirements for political balance as the ordinary committees it should be noted that they have historically been allocated on that principle where possible.
- 2.12 The non-ordinary committees appointed on the basis of political balance are the Licensing Committee, the Joint Staff Consultative Forum and the Joint Health, Safety and Welfare Consultative Forum.

Allocation of Seats – Licensing Committee

- 2.13 Pursuant to Section 6 of the Licensing Act 2003, the Licensing Committee must have at least ten, but no more than fifteen, members. The current arrangement of fifteen members allows the Licensing Committee to appoint five broadly area-based sub-committees to conduct hearings and has functioned effectively since its introduction in 2003. An important reason for having 5 area-based sub-committees is that Members as a matter of convention do not sit on Licensing Sub-Committees considering applications relating to their wards. Accordingly, this report recommends the continued appointment of 15 councillors to the Licensing Committee.
- 2.14 The Licensing Committee is not subject to the requirements of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 with regard to political proportionality, although this principle has voluntarily been applied in allocating the seats at the annual Council meetings held since May 2006. It is however at the discretion of the Council to set the size of the Licensing Committee and the allocation of seats, subject to the restriction mentioned above.

Allocation of Seats – Joint Staff Consultative Forum and the Joint Health, Safety and Welfare forum

- 2.15 In accordance with s.15(6) of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989, a seat on an advisory committee shall not be treated as being subject to the political balance requirements unless the authority have determined that it must be so filled. However, the Council has historically voluntarily applied the principles of proportionality in allocating seats to these fora.

Allocation of Seats – Executive Committees

- 2.16 The allocation of seats on the Executive and Executive Committees is a matter for the Cabinet and is outside the scope of this report.

Allocation of Seats - South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Board

- 2.17 The South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Board is a sub-committee of Kent County Council's Health and Wellbeing Board, although the operation of the Board is administered by Dover District Council's Democratic Services team. As such the two Dover District Council members appointed to it are outside the scope of this report.

Allocation of Seats – East Kent Services Committee

- 2.18 The terms of reference of the East Kent Services Committee specify that its membership must be composed of the Leader and Deputy Leader of the Council. As less than three members are appointed by the Council, it is not required to be politically balanced.

3. Identification of Options

- 3.1 This report sets out three options for the allocation of seats, as follows:
- 3.2 Option 1 – To allocate seats to political groups with a variation to treat the Dover Joint Transportation Board and the two Scrutiny Committees as Ordinary Committees. This is the historical position adopted by the Council and is set out in Appendix 1.
- 3.3 Option 2 – To allocate seats to political groups only without variation. This is set out in Appendix 2.
- 3.4 Option 3 – To not adopt the recommendations set out in the report and make an alternative proposal within the statutory framework.

4. Evaluation of Options

- 4.1 Option 1 would require the approval of Council to agree the variations in respect of the Dover Joint Transportation Board and the two Scrutiny Committees without any member of the Council voting against. However, it would maintain the current approach taken to the allocation of seats to political groups and is considered the best method of fulfilling the principles set out in paragraph 2.3 of the report.
- 4.2 Option 2 would not require the approval of Council as it is not applying a variation to the arrangements (without any member of the Council voting against. However, in practical terms it allocates the seats in exactly the same way as option 1 does.
- 4.3 Option 3 would also require the approval of Council to agree the variation(s) without any member of the Council voting against. It would allow for the allocation of committee places to the four members that do not form part a political group if Council wished to do so.

5. Resource Implications

- 5.1 None.

6. Appendices

Appendix 1 – Proposed Allocation of Seats

Appendix 2 – Alternative Allocation of Seats (no variation)

7. Background Papers

Localism Act 2011

Local Government Act 1972

Local Government and Housing Act 1989

The Local Government (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations 1990

Local Government Act 2000

Licensing Act 2003

The Licensing Act 2003 (Hearings) Regulations 2005

Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007

Contact Officer: Rebecca Brough, Democratic Services Manager, 01304 872304